MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

case schedule following its order on claim construction, issued April 7, 2014 (Dkt. No. 303);

WHEREAS, at the April 22, 2014 case management conference, the Court directed that a further case management conference be held on May 29, 2014;

WHEREAS, following submission of letter briefs as directed by the Court at the April 22, 2014 case management conference, the Court issued a case scheduling order on May 16, 2014, directing further briefing by the parties regarding claim construction and setting a further claim construction hearing for July 21, 2014 (Dkt. No. 313);

WHEREAS, the Court's May 16, 2014 order also directed LSI to elect limited asserted patents and claims for a first phase of the case within two weeks of the Court's issuance of a claim construction order, and B&N to elect limited prior art references within two weeks of LSI's election;

WHEREAS, in light of the further claim construction proceedings set by the Court, the parties agree that further efforts to set a case schedule would be more productive following a further claim construction order and the parties' elections;

WHEREAS, the parties expect that these elections will take place prior to August 28, 2014;

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE and jointly request that, in view of the foregoing, the case management conference currently set for May 29, 2014 be continued to August 28, 2014.

	1	Dated: May 21, 2014	FENWICK & WEST LLP
Fenwick & West LLP Attorners at Law Mountain View	2		
	3	Dated: May 21, 2014	By: /s/ Ravi Ranganath Ravi Ranganath Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems LLC
	4		
	5		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
	6	Bucd. 1414y 21, 2011	SULLIVAN, LLP
	7		
	8		By: <u>/s/ Carl G. Anderson</u>
	9		Carl G. Anderson Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	10		Barnes & Noble, Inc. and barnesandnoble.com llc
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	16	DISTO	
	17	The Honorable Edward M. Then United States District Wash	
	18	The Honorable Edward M. Then United States District Judge	ED E
	19	IT IS SO ORDER	ED (S)
	20		
	21	Judge Edward M. C	Chen S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
	22	Jues Jues	
	23	THE PARTY OF THE P	NE CE
	24	Judge Edward M. C.	
	25		
	26		
	27		

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC

ATTESTATION Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories. Dated: May 21, 2014 FENWICK & WEST LLP By: /s/ Ravi Ranganath Ravi Ranganath Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems LLC FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC